



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
077227,403	12/17/86	GESHWIND	D

DAVID M. GESHWIND
C/O ANNE C. AVELLONE
60 WEST 66TH ST.
NEW YORK, NY 10023

EXAMINER	
RUTLEDGE, D	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
211	6

DATE MAILED:

06/05/89

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

☒ This application has been examined ☐ Responsive to communication filed on _____ ☐ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), _____ days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. | 2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449 | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152 |
| 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474 | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> _____ |

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. ☒ Claims 1 - 44 are pending in the application.

Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.

2. ☐ Claims _____ have been cancelled.

3. ☐ Claims _____ are allowed.

4. ☒ Claims 1 - 44 are rejected.

5. ☐ Claims _____ are objected to.

6. ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

7. ☐ This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes until such time as allowable subject matter is indicated.

8. ☐ Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.

9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. These drawings are ☐ acceptable;
☐ not acceptable (see explanation).

10. ☐ The ☐ proposed drawing correction and/or the ☐ proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____ has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner. ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).

11. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been ☐ approved. ☐ disapproved (see explanation). However, the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now applicant's responsibility to ensure that the drawings are corrected. Corrections MUST be effected in accordance with the instructions set forth on the attached letter "INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.

12. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☐ been received ☐ not been received

☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____.

13. ☐ Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

14. ☐ Other

Art Unit 211

Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In independent claims 1, 31-33, "separating" is misspelled. In claims 1, 33, 38, "inputting" is misspelled. In claims 31, 32, "outputting" is misspelled. In claim 39, "compartment" is misspelled. ~~_____~~

9/4/89
DR
DR
DR
DR
Claims 41, 43 ^{and 42, 44} are rejected for obviously failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Claims 1-40 are would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

There are numerous spelling errors in the specification; for example: "separate" has been misspelled throughout the specification; p. 4 line 23, "sub-component" and p. 12, line 4, "manner". The entire specification should be reviewed and all errors corrected.

Appropriate correction of the disclosure is required.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The reference provides background material on modifying images.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Rutledge at telephone number 703-557-4888.

DR. Rutledge/vsh
(703) 557-4888
05-23-89

DR
E. T. HIX
6/2/85
SUPV. PRIMARY EXR.
ART. UNIT 211